ABC of Gluon Saturation and CGC

Kirill Tuchin

IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY of science and technology

RIKEN BNL Research Center Nuclei as heavy as bulls through collision generate new states of matter



Hot Quarks 2008



WHAT IS THE DISTRIBUTION OF NUCLEAR MATTER RIGHT AFTER THE COLLISION (BEFORE THERMALIZATION)?

First, need to understand ep, pp,eA and pA.

High energy asymptotic

1950's



Pomerantchuk Theorem:

At s→∞ and t fixed, only a Reggeon with *vacuum* quantum numbers gives rising cross section.



Probing proton's content: DIS









Pomeron's image: Diffraction





Trouble with the Pomeron

Consider a collision at a given impact parameter *b*

$$for tot = 2 \int d^2b \sum_{X} |\mathcal{M}_{\gamma^* p \to X}(s, b)|^2$$

Total cross section $\leq 2 \times \text{interaction}$ area

 $\sum_{X} |\mathcal{M}_{\gamma^* p \to X}(s, b)|^2 \leq 1 \quad \text{Unitarity (total probability = 1)}$ But $\sigma_{\gamma^* p} \propto s^{\lambda}$ violates this fundamental constraint! (at x≈0.01 for ep and x≈0.1 for pA)

A contribution to the sum over X is missing!



"Quasi-classical approximation"

CGC = theory of gluon saturation1990'sThe central problem:Given UR source of 2D density
$$\rho \approx \frac{A x G_N(x)}{S_A} \sim \frac{A s^{\lambda}}{A^{2/3} S_p}$$
find the corresponding gluon field by solving classical YM.Solution: $F_{\mu\nu} \sim \frac{Q_s^2}{g}$ where $Q_s^2 \sim \rho \sim A^{1/3} s^{\lambda}$ Dependence on Λ_{QCD} disappears.Asymptotic freedom: $\alpha_s(Q_s^2) << 1 \Rightarrow$ Perturbation theory is valid!









 $R = \frac{\sigma(pA)}{A\,\sigma(pp)}$

13



From pA to AA

Requires solving YM for two dense systems moving in opposite directions. Remember: Huygens principle doesn't work for Non-Abelian theories!



From pA to AA

Furthest advance was made by Kovchegov who conjectured a certain factorization of the fields of the two nuclei. But we don't have a proof (Yet?)

Kharzeev - Levin - Nardi model is based to k_T -factorization (a la pQCD) and captures the essential features of hadron production:



KLN model

dN/dղ vs Centrality at ղ=0



KLN model







Heavy quark production

KT, 04



Fujii, Gelis, Venugopalan

Perturbative factorization is broken down

Open charm R_{pA} vs PHENIX data



When the geometric scaling fails?





J/ψ production $J^{PC}=1^{--}$



Production time $\tau_P = \frac{E_g}{M_\psi^2} = 7 \, \text{fm}$

Formation time

$$\tau_F = \frac{2}{M_{\psi'} - M_{\psi}} \frac{E_g}{M_{\psi}} = 42 \,\mathrm{fm}$$

Number of attached gluons must be odd on each side of the cut.





CGC is responsible for a significant J/ψ suppression in HIC even at y=0

Kharzeev, Levin, Nardi, KT in preparation

Summary

1. Cold nuclear matter at low x is dominated by high gluon densities and classical field configurations.

2. In HIC they are manifested in hadron production and correlations. Is most of J/ψ suppression in AA due to gluon saturation?

3. There is a great potential to study CGC in pA and eA diffraction.

4. RHIC II and LHC will access low x and high p_T : great opportunity to study the relation between CGC and the hard perturbative theory.



Back-up slides

Other channels of interest

1. Diffraction in pA: coherent and incoherent. Strong rapidity dependence even at LHC (where inclusive probes are saturated).

 Correlations in pA and AA (responsible for flow at high p_T and ridge?



3. Dileptons and direct photons.

Open beauty R_{pA}



28